arXiv:1407.0832v2 [math.NT] 27 Jun 2016

TRANSCENDENTAL p-ADIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS

TOMOHIRO OOTO

Abstract. We establish a new transcendence criterion of p-adic continued fractions which are called Ruban continued fractions. By this result, we give explicit transcendental Ruban continued fractions with bounded p-adic absolute value of partial quotients. This is p-adic analogy of Baker's result. We also prove that p-adic analogy of Lagrange Theorem for Ruban continued fractions is not true.

1. Introduction

Maillet [8] is the first person who gave explicit transcendental continued fractions with bounded partial quotients. After that, Baker [1] extended Maillet's results with LeVeque Theorem [6] which is Roth Theorem for algebraic number fields.

There exist continued fraction expansions in p-adic number field $\mathbb{Q}_p$, not just in $\mathbb{R}$. Schneider [10] was motivated by Mahler's work [7] and gave an algorithm of p-adic continued fraction expansion. In the same year, Ruban [9] also gave an different algorithm of p-adic continued fraction expansion. Ubolsri, Laohakosol, Deze, and Wang gave several transcendence criteria for Ruban continued fractions (see [2, 4, 14, 15]). The proofs are mainly based on the theory of p-adic Diophantine approximations. However, they only studied Ruban continued fractions with unbounded p-adic absolute value of partial quotients. In this paper, we study analogy of Baker's transcendence criterion for Ruban continued fractions with bounded p-adic absolute value of partial quotients.

Let p be a prime. We denote by $|\cdot|_p$ the valuation normalized to satisfy $|p|_p = 1/p$. A function $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor_p$ is given by the following:

$$\lfloor\cdot\rfloor_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{Q} \ ; \lfloor\alpha\rfloor_p = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=m}^{0} c_n p^n & (m \leq 0), \\ 0 & (m > 0), \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} c_n p^n,\ c_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\},\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ c_m \neq 0$. The function is called a *p-adic floor function*. If $\alpha \neq \lfloor\alpha\rfloor_p$, then we can write α in the form

$$\alpha = \lfloor\alpha\rfloor_p + \frac{1}{\alpha_1}$$

with $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Note that $|\alpha_1|_p \geq p$ and $\lfloor\alpha_1\rfloor_p \neq 0$. Similarly, if $\alpha_1 \neq \lfloor\alpha_1\rfloor_p$, then we have

$$\alpha_1 = \lfloor\alpha_1\rfloor_p + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11J81, 11J70, 11J61.

Key words and phrases. Transcendental numbers; Continued fractions; Diophantine approximations; p-adic numbers.

with $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. We continue the above process provided $\alpha_n \neq \lfloor \alpha_n \rfloor_p$. In this way, it follows that α can be written in the form

$$\alpha = \lfloor \alpha \rfloor_p + \cfrac{1}{\lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor_p + \cfrac{1}{\lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor_p + \cfrac{1}{\ddots \lfloor \alpha_{n-1} \rfloor_p + \cfrac{1}{\alpha_n}}}}.$$

For simplicity of notation, we write the continued fraction

$$[\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor_p, \ldots, \lfloor \alpha_{n-1} \rfloor_p, \alpha_n].$$

α_n is called the *n-th complete quotient* and either $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_p$ or $\lfloor \alpha_n \rfloor_p$ is called a *partial quotient*. If the above process stopped in a certain step, then

$$\alpha = [\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor_p, \ldots, \lfloor \alpha_{n-1} \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_n \rfloor_p]$$

is called a *finite Ruban continued fraction*. Otherwise, in the same way, we have

$$\alpha = [\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor_p, \ldots, \lfloor \alpha_{n-1} \rfloor_p, \lfloor \alpha_n \rfloor_p, \ldots]$$

which is called an *infinite Ruban continued fraction*. As a remark, according to the fact that the Hensel expansion of a p-adic number is unique, we have the uniqueness of Ruban continued fraction expansions.

We define $S_p = \{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_p \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}$, $S'_p = \{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_p \mid |\alpha|_p \geq p \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}$. Let $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence with $a_0 \in S_p$ and $a_i \in S'_p$ for all $i \geq 1$, and $(n_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Let $(\lambda_i)_{i \geq 0}$ and $(k_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be sequences of positive integers. Assume that for all i,

$$n_{i+1} \geq n_i + \lambda_i k_i$$
$$a_{m+k_i} = a_m \text{ for } n_i \leq m \leq n_i + (\lambda_i - 1)k_i - 1.$$

Consider a p-adic number α defined by

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots].$$

Then α is called a *quasi-periodic Ruban continued fraction*.

The main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}, (n_i)_{i \geq 0}, (\lambda_i)_{i \geq 0}$, and $(k_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be as in the above, and $A \geq p$ be a real number. Assume that $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is a non-ultimately periodic sequence such that $|a_i|_p \leq A$ for each i. If $a_{n_i} = a_{n_i+1} = \cdots = a_{n_i+k_i-1} = (p-1) + (p-1)p^{-1} = p - p^{-1}$ for infinitely many i and*

$$\liminf_{i \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} > B = B(A),$$

where B is defined by

$$B = \frac{2 \log A}{\log p} - 1,$$

then α is transcendental.

As a remark, a sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be *ultimately periodic* if there exist integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$ such that $a_{n+l} = a_n$ for all $n \geq k$.

For example, the following p-adic numbers are transcendental:

(1)
$$[0,\overline{p-p^{-1}}^{2\cdot 3^0},\overline{p^{-1}}^{2\cdot 3^1},\overline{p-p^{-1}}^{2\cdot 3^2},\overline{p^{-1}}^{2\cdot 3^3},\dots,\overline{p-p^{-1}}^{2\cdot 3^{2m}},\overline{p^{-1}}^{2\cdot 3^{2m+1}},\dots],$$

(2)
$$[0,\overline{p^{-1},p^{-2}}^{8\cdot 17^0},\overline{p-p^{-1},p-p^{-1}}^{8\cdot 17^1},\overline{p^{-1},p^{-2}}^{8\cdot 17^2},\overline{p-p^{-1},p-p^{-1}}^{8\cdot 17^3}$$
$$,\dots,\overline{p^{-1},p^{-2}}^{8\cdot 17^{2m}},\overline{p-p^{-1},p-p^{-1}}^{8\cdot 17^{2m+1}},\dots],$$

where $2\cdot 3^i$ and $8\cdot 17^i$ indicate the number of times a block of partial quotients is repeated. (1) is the case that for $i\geq 0,\ a_{n_{2i}}=p-p^{-1},\ a_{n_{2i-1}}=p^{-1},\ n_i=3^i,\ \lambda_i=2\cdot 3^i,\ k_i=1,\ A=p$ in Theorem 1.1. (2) is the case that for $i\geq 0,\ a_{n_{2i}}=p^{-1},\ a_{n_{2i}+1}=p^{-2},\ a_{n_{2i+1}}=a_{n_{2i+1}+1}=p-p^{-1},\ n_i=17^i,\ \lambda_i=8\cdot 17^i,\ k_i=2,\ A=p^2$ in Theorem 1.1.

A well-known Lagrange's theorem states that the continued fraction expansion for a real number is ultimately periodic if and only if the number is quadratic irrational. For Schneider continued fractions, p-adic analogy of Lagrange's theorem is not true, that is, there exists a quadratic irrational number whose Schneider continued fraction is not ultimately periodic (See e.g. Weger [3], Tilborghs [12], van der Poorten [13]). This paper deals with analogy of Lagrange's theorem for Ruban continued fractions.

We prove that analogy of Lagrange's theorem for Ruban continued fractions is not true in Section 2. Auxiliary results for main results are gathered in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and give criteria of quadratic or transcendental in a certain class of Ruban continued fractions. These proofs are mainly based on the proof of Baker's results and the non-Archimedean version of Roth's theorem for an algebraic number field [11].

2. Rational and quadratic irrational numbers

Wang [14] and Laohakosol [5] characterized rational numbers with Ruban continued fractions as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *Let α be a p-adic number. Then α is rational if and only if its Ruban continued fraction expansion is finite or ultimately periodic with the period $p-p^{-1}$.*

Proof. See [14] or [5]. □

Next, we prove that analogy of Lagrange's theorem for Ruban continued fractions is not true by the similar method as in [3]. We consider a Ruban continued fraction for $\alpha=\sqrt{D}$ where $D\in\mathbb{Z}$ not a square, but a quadratic residue modulo p, if p is odd, 1 modulo 8, if $p=2$, so that $\alpha\in\mathbb{Q}_p$. If the Ruban continued fraction of α is $[a_0,a_1,a_2,\dots]$, then there exist rational numbers R_n,Q_n such that

$$\alpha_n=\frac{R_n+\sqrt{D}}{Q_n}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Obviously, $R_0=0,Q_0=1$, and for all n we have the recursion formula

$$R_{n+1}=-(R_n-a_nQ_n),\ Q_{n+1}=\frac{D-R_{n+1}^2}{Q_n}$$

by induction on n.

Proposition 2.2. *If $R_mQ_m \leq 0$, and $R_{m+1}^2 > D$ for some m, then the Ruban continued fraction expansion of α is not ultimately periodic.*

Proof. We show $R_{m+1}Q_{m+1} < 0$, $R_{m+2}^2 > D$, and $|R_{m+2}| > |R_{m+1}|$. Let us assume $R_mQ_m < 0$. Then we have $R_mR_{m+1} < 0$ by the recursion formula for R_{m+1}. We also obtain $Q_mQ_{m+1} < 0$ by the recursion formula for Q_{m+1} and $R_{m+1}^2 > D$. Hence, we get $R_{m+1}Q_{m+1} < 0$. Furthermore, by $a_{m+1} \neq 0$, we have

$$|R_{m+2}| = |R_{m+1}| + a_{m+1}|Q_{m+1}| > |R_{m+1}|,$$

so that $R_{m+2}^2 > D$. Next, let us assume $R_mQ_m = 0$. By $R_m = 0$, we have $R_{m+1} = a_mQ_m$. By the recursion formula for Q_{m+1}, we have $Q_mQ_{m+1} < 0$. Thus, we obtain $R_{m+1}Q_{m+1} < 0$. In the same way, we see $|R_{m+2}| > |R_{m+1}|$ and $R_{m+2}^2 > D$. Since $(|R_n|)_{n\geq m}$ is strictly increasing, the Ruban continued fraction expansion for $\sqrt{D}$ is not ultimately periodic. □

Corollary 2.3. *If $D < 0$, then the Ruban continued fraction expansion of p-adic number $\sqrt{D}$ is not ultimately periodic.*

Proof. Since $R_0Q_0 = 0$, and $R_1^2 \geq 0$, the corollary follows. □

3. Auxiliary results

For an infinite Ruban continued fraction $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots]$, we define nonnegative rational numbers q_n, r_n by using recurrence equations:

$$\begin{cases} q_{-1} = 0,\ q_0 = 1,\ q_n = a_nq_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, & n \geq 1, \\ r_{-1} = 1,\ r_0 = a_0,\ r_n = a_nr_{n-1} + r_{n-2}, & n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let λ be a variable. Then the Ruban continued fraction has the following properties which are the same properties as the continued fraction expansions for real numbers: For all $n \geq 0$,

$$[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n] = \frac{r_n}{q_n}, \tag{3}$$

$$[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \lambda] = \frac{\lambda r_n + r_{n-1}}{\lambda q_n + q_{n-1}}, \tag{4}$$

$$r_{n-1}q_n - r_nq_{n-1} = (-1)^n. \tag{5}$$

Those are easily seen by induction on n.

Lemma 3.1. *The following equalities hold:*

$$|q_n|_p = |a_1a_2\cdots a_n|_p,\ n \geq 1, \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{cases} |r_n|_p = |a_0a_1\cdots a_n|_p,\ n \geq 1, & (a_0 \neq 0) \\ |r_1|_p = 1,\ |r_n|_p = |a_2a_3\cdots a_n|_p,\ n \geq 2, & (\textit{otherwise}) \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{r_n}{q_n}\right|_p = \frac{1}{|a_{n+1}|_p|q_n|_p^2},\ n \geq 0. \tag{8}$$

Proof. See [14]. □

Lemma 3.2. *If α' is a Ruban continued fraction in which the first $n+1$ partial quotients are the same as those of α, then*

$$|\alpha - \alpha'|_p \leq |q_n|_p^{-2}.$$

Proof. Since r_n/q_n is a n-th convergent to both α and α', and (8), the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.3. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$q_n \leq |q_n|_p,\ r_n \leq |r_n|_p,\ \textit{for all } n \geq -1.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n. It is obvious that for $n = -1, 0$. By Lemma 3.1 and the definition of Ruban continued fraction expansions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_n &\leq a_n|q_{n-1}|_p + |q_{n-2}|_p \leq \left(p - \frac{1}{|a_n|_p}\right)|q_{n-1}|_p + |q_{n-2}|_p \\ &\leq |q_{n-1}|_p\left(p + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{|a_n|_p}\right) \leq |q_n|_p. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for r_n is similar. □

For $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, let $f_\beta(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} d_i X^i$ be a minimum polynomial of β in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Put

$$H(\beta) := \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |d_i|.$$

$H(\beta)$ is called a *primitive height* of β.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $a_0 = 0$. Let h, k be positive integers and consider the Ruban continued fraction*

$$\eta = [0, a_1, \ldots, a_{h-1}, \overline{a_h, \ldots, a_{h+k-1}}].$$

Then η is rational or quadratic irrational. Furthermore, we have

$$H(\eta) \leq \begin{cases} p & (\textit{if } \eta \textit{ is rational and } h = 1) \\ |q_{h-1}|_p^2 & (\textit{if } \eta \textit{ is rational and } h \geq 2) \\ 2|q_{h+k-1}|_p^4 & (\textit{if } \eta \textit{ is quadratic irrational}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. By $\eta_h = \eta_{h+k}$ and (4), we obtain

$$\eta = \frac{\eta_h r_{h-1} + r_{h-2}}{\eta_h q_{h-1} + q_{h-2}} = \frac{\eta_h r_{h+k-1} + r_{h+k-2}}{\eta_h q_{h+k-1} + q_{h+k-2}}.$$

Eliminating η_h, we have

$$A\eta^2 + B\eta + C = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= q_{h-2}q_{h+k-1} - q_{h-1}q_{h+k-2}, \\ B &= q_{h-1}r_{h+k-2} + r_{h-1}q_{h+k-2} - r_{h-2}q_{h+k-1} - q_{h-2}r_{h+k-1}, \\ C &= r_{h-2}r_{h+k-1} - r_{h-1}r_{h+k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, η is either rational or quadratic irrational. By the assumption $a_0 = 0$, it follows that $r_n \leq q_n,\ |r_n|_p \leq |q_n|_p$ for all $n \geq 0$. By induction on n, it is easy to check that $r_n|r_n|_p,\ q_n|q_n|_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Let us assume that η is a quadratic irrational. By $|q_{h+k-1}|_p^2 A$, $|q_{h+k-1}|_p^2 B$, $|q_{h+k-1}|_p^2 C \in \mathbb{Z}$ and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(\eta) &\leq |q_{h+k-1}|_p^2 \max(|A|, |B|, |C|) \\ &\leq 2q_{h+k-1}^2 |q_{h+k-1}|_p^2 \leq 2|q_{h+k-1}|_p^4. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us assume that η is rational. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\eta = [a_0, \ldots, a_{h-1}, -1/p],$$

that is,

$$\eta = \frac{pr_{h-2} - r_{h-1}}{pq_{h-2} - q_{h-1}}.$$

When $h = 1$, we see that $H(\eta) = p$. Next we consider the case $h \geq 2$. Since $(pr_{h-2} - r_{h-1})|q_{h-1}|_p$ and $(pq_{h-2} - q_{h-1})|q_{h-1}|_p$ are integers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\eta) &\leq \max(|pr_{h-2} - r_{h-1}||q_{h-1}|_p,\ |pq_{h-2} - q_{h-1}||q_{h-1}|_p) \\ &\leq |q_{h-1}|_p^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. □

We recall a height of algebraic numbers which is different from the primitive height. Let K be an algebraic number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the integer ring of K, and $M(K)$ be the set of places of K. For $x \in K$ and $v \in M(K)$, we define the absolute value $|x|_v$ by

(i): $|x|_v = |\sigma(x)|$ if v corresponds the embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$
(ii): $|x|_v = |\sigma(x)|^2 = |\overline{\sigma}(x)|^2$ if v corresponds the pair of conjugate embeddings $\sigma, \overline{\sigma} : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
(iii): $|x|_v = (\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{p}))^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}$ if v corresponds to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Set

$$\overline{H}_K(\beta) := \prod_{v \in M(K)} \max\{1, |\beta|_v\}$$

for $\beta \in K$. $\overline{H}_K(\beta)$ is called an *absolute height* of β. Then there are the following relations between primitive and absolute height.

Proposition 3.5. *For $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $[\mathbb{Q}(\beta), \mathbb{Q}] = D$, we have*

$$H(b) = \overline{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(b),$$

$$\overline{H}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(\beta) \leq (D+1)^{1/2} H(\beta),\ H(\beta) \leq 2^D \overline{H}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(\beta).$$

Proof. See Part B of [11]. □

The main tool for the proof of main results is the non-Archimedean version of Roth's theorem for algebraic number fields.

Theorem 3.6. (Roth Theorem). *Let K be an algebraic number field, and v be in $M(K)$ with it extended in some way to $\overline{K}$. Let $\beta \in \overline{K} \backslash K$ and $\delta, C > 0$ be given. Then there are only finite many $\gamma \in K$ with the solution of the following inequality:*

$$|\beta - \gamma|_v \leq \frac{C}{\overline{H}_K(\gamma)^{2+\delta}}.$$

Proof. See Part D of [11]. □

4. Main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We may assume that $a_0 = 0$. By the assumption, there are infinitely many positive integers j which satisfy

$$a_{n_j} = a_{n_j+1} = \cdots = a_{n_j+k_j-1} = p - p^{-1}. \tag{9}$$

Let Λ be an infinite set of j which satisfy (9).

For $i \in \Lambda$, we put

$$\eta^{(i)} := [0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n_i-1}, \overline{p - p^{-1}}].$$

By Proposition 2.1, α is not rational. Suppose that α is an algebraic number of degree at least two. We show that if $\chi > 2$, then we have

$$|\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_p > |q_{n_i-1}|_p^{-2\chi} \tag{10}$$

for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. Suppose the claim is false. By Proposition 2.1, $\eta^{(i)}$ is rational for each $i \in \Lambda$. By Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.5, we have

$$|\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_p \quad \leq \quad |q_{n_i-1}|_p^{-2\chi} \leq \overline{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\eta^{(i)})^{-\chi}$$

for infinitely many i, which contradicts Theorem 3.6.

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain $|\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_p \leq |q_{m_i}|_p^{-2}$ for $i \in \Lambda$, where $m_i = n_i + k_i\lambda_i - 1$. Therefore, we get

$$|q_{m_i}|_p < |q_{n_i-1}|_p^{\chi}$$

for sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. By Lemma 3.1, we see $p^i \leq |q_i|_p \leq A^i$ for $i \geq 1$. Thus, for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$, it follows that

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} < B + (\chi - 2)\frac{\log A}{\log p}.$$

Since there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda_i > (B + \delta)n_i$ for all sufficiently large i, we have for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$,

$$2 + \frac{\log p}{\log A}\delta < \chi.$$

This inequality holds for each $\chi > 2$, a contradiction.

We also obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.1. *Let α be a quasi-periodic Ruban continued fraction, and $A \geq p$ be a real number. Assume that $(a_i)_{i\geq 0}$ is a non-ultimately periodic sequence such that $|a_i|_p \leq A$ for each i, and $(k_i)_{i\geq 0}$ is bounded. If*

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{n_i} > B' = B'(A),$$

where B' is defined by

$$B' = \frac{4\log A}{\log p} - 1,$$

then α is quadratic irrational or transcendental.

Theorem 4.2. *Consider a quasi-periodic Ruban continued fraction*

$$\alpha = [a_0, \dots, a_{n_0-1}, \overline{a_{n_0}, \dots, a_{n_0+k_0-1}}^{\lambda_0}, \overline{a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_1+k_1-1}}^{\lambda_1}, \dots],$$

where the notation means that $n_i = n_{i-1} + \lambda_{i-1}k_{i-1}$. *Assume that* $(a_i)_{i\geq 0}$ *is not an ultimately periodic sequence, the sequences* $(|a_i|_p)_{i\geq 0}$ *and* $(k_i)_{i\geq 0}$ *are bounded, and that*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i-1}} > 4.$$

Then α *is quadratic irrational or transcendental.*

Remark. There exist quadratic irrational numbers whose Ruban continued fraction expansions are not ultimately periodic by Corollary 2.3. Therefore, it is difficult to determine whether a given Ruban continued fraction is quadratic irrational or transcendental. However, we see that there exist a transcendental number in the set of Ruban continued fractions which satisfy the assumption of Theorem 4.1 and 4.2. For example, (2) satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.1 and 4.2.

In the following, $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_6$ denote positive real numbers which depend only on α, and we may assume that $a_0 = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 By the assumption, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda_i > (B' + \delta)n_i$ for infinitely many i. For each positive integer i, there are only finitely many possibilities for k_i and for

$$a_{n_i}, a_{n_i+1}, \dots, a_{n_i+k_i-1}.$$

Therefore, there exist a positive integer k and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \in S'_p$ such that there are infinitely many j which satisfy

$$k_j = k,\ a_{n_j} = b_1, \dots, a_{n_j+k_j-1} = b_k,\ \lambda_j > (B' + \delta)n_j. \tag{11}$$

Let Λ be an infinite set of j which satisfy (11).

For $i \in \Lambda$, we put

$$\eta^{(i)} := [0, a_1, \dots, a_{n_i-1}, \overline{b_1, \dots, b_k}].$$

By Proposition 2.1, α is not rational. Suppose that α is an algebraic number of degree at least three. We show that if $\chi > 2$, then we have

$$|\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_p > |q_{n_i+k_i-1}|_p^{-4\chi} \tag{12}$$

for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. Suppose the claim is false. By Lemma 3.4, $\eta^{(i)}$ is rational or quadratic irrational for each $i \in \Lambda$. Let us assume that $\eta^{(i)}$ is quadratic irrational. Then there exists a quadratic field K such that $\eta^{(i)} \in K$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Take a real number ε which satisfies $0 < \varepsilon < \chi - 2$. Then we have $2^{\chi-\varepsilon} < |q_{n_i+k_i-1}|_p^{4\varepsilon}$ for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. Put $v \in M(K)$ with $v \mid p$. We denote again by v one of the place extended to $K(\alpha)$. By $[K(\alpha)_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] = 1$, Lemma 3.4, and Proposition 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_v &= |\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_p \leq |q_{n_i+k_i-1}|_p^{-4\chi} \\ &\leq (2|q_{n_i+k_i-1}|_p^4)^{\varepsilon-\chi} \leq H(\eta^{(i)})^{\varepsilon-\chi} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{\overline{H}_K(\eta^{(i)})^{\chi-\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

for infinitely many i, which contradicts Theorem 3.6. In the same way, we see (12) in the case that $\eta^{(i)}$ is rational. By Lemma 3.2, we have $|\alpha-\eta^{(i)}|_p \leq |q_{m_i}|_p^{-2}$ for $i \in \Lambda$, where $m_i = n_i + k\lambda_i - 1$. Therefore, we obtain

$$|q_{m_i}|_p < |q_{n_i+k-1}|_p^{2\chi}$$

for sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. By Lemma 3.1, we see $p^i \leq |q_i|_p \leq A^i$ for $i \geq 1$. Thus, for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$, we have

$$\lambda_i < c_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}(B'+1)\chi - 1\right),$$

so

$$\left(1 - \frac{\chi}{2}\right)(B'+1) + \delta < \frac{c_2}{n_i}.$$

This inequality holds for each $\chi > 2$, and contradicts if i is sufficiently large in Λ.

Proof of Theorem 4.2 Put

$$P_h^{(i)} := [a_{n_i+h-1}, a_{n_i+h-2}, \ldots, a_{n_i}, \overline{a_{n_i+k_i-1}, a_{n_i+k_i-2}, \ldots, a_{n_i}}]$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $h = 1, 2, \ldots, k_i$. Put

$$P^{(i)} := \prod_{h=1}^{k_i} P_h^{(i)}.$$

For each positive integer i, there exist only finitely many possibilities for k_i and for

$$a_{n_i}, a_{n_i+1}, \ldots, a_{n_i+k_i-1}.$$

$P^{(i)}$ is a function which depends only on $k_i, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i+1}, \ldots, a_{n_i+k_i-1}$. Hence, there exists a real number P such that the greatest of those values $|P^{(i)}|_p$ which are attained for infinitely many i. Then there exists an integer l such that

$$|P^{(i)}|_p \leq P \text{ for all } i \geq l.$$

There exist a positive integer k and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in S'_p$ such that there are infinitely many j which satisfy

$$|P^{(j)}|_p = P,\ k_j = k,\ a_{n_j} = b_1, \ldots, a_{n_j+k_j-1} = b_k. \tag{13}$$

Let Λ be an infinite set of j which satisfy (13). We may assume that $l = 0$.

Let us show that

$$|q_{n_{i+1}-1}|_p \leq c_3 P^{\lambda_i} |q_{n_i-1}|_p \quad \text{for all } i, \tag{14}$$

$$|q_{n_{i+1}-1}|_p \geq c_4 P^{\lambda_i} |q_{n_i-1}|_p \quad \text{for all } i \in \Lambda. \tag{15}$$

Firstly, an induction allows us to establish the mirror formula

$$\frac{q_m}{q_{m-1}} = [a_m, \ldots, a_1], \text{ for all } m \geq 1.$$

Put

$$W_h^{(i)} := \frac{q_{n_i+h-1}}{q_{n_i+h-2}},$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $h = 1, 2, \ldots, k_i\lambda_i$, and

$$W^{(i)} := \prod_{h=1}^{k_i\lambda_i} W_h^{(i)}.$$

Clearly, we have $q_{n_{i+1}-1} = W^{(i)} q_{n_i-1}$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 that for any i,

$$\begin{aligned} |W^{(i)}|_p &= \prod_{h=1}^{k_i}\prod_{s=0}^{\lambda_i-1} |W^{(i)}_{h+sk_i}|_p \le \prod_{h=1}^{k_i}\prod_{s=0}^{\lambda_i-1} (|P^{(i)}_h|_p + |U^{(i)}_{h,s}|_p^{-2}) \\ &\le \prod_{h=1}^{k_i}\prod_{s=0}^{\lambda_i-1} |P^{(i)}_h|_p (1+p^{-2(h+sk_i-1)}) \le |P^{(i)}|_p^{\lambda_i} \prod_{h=1}^{k_i\lambda_i} (1+p^{2-2h}) \\ &\le c_3 P^{\lambda_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $U^{(i)}_{1,0} = 1$ and otherwise $U^{(i)}_{h,s}$ is the denominator of $(h+sk_i-1)$-th convergent to $P^{(i)}_h$. Likewise, for all i, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W^{(i)}|_p &\ge \prod_{h=1}^{k_i}\prod_{s=0}^{\lambda_i-1} (|P^{(i)}_h|_p - |U^{(i)}_{h,s}|_p^{-2}) \\ &\ge |P^{(i)}|_p^{\lambda_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P^{(i)}_1|_p}\right) \prod_{h=2}^{k_i\lambda_i} (1-p^{2-2h}). \end{aligned}$$

If $i \in \Lambda$, then $|P^{(i)}|_p = P$ and $P^{(i)}_1$ is independent of i. Therefore, we obtain

$$|W^{(i)}|_p \ge c_4 P^{\lambda_i} \quad \text{for all } i \in \Lambda.$$

If A and K are the upper bounds of the sequences $(|a_i|_p)_{i\ge 0}$ and $(k_i)_{i\ge 0}$, then for all i, we have

$$|q_{n_i+k_i-1}|_p \le A^K |q_{n_i-1}|_p. \tag{16}$$

Now, there exist a real number $\delta > 0$ and an integer $N \ge 1$ such that $\lambda_i > (4+\delta)\lambda_{i-1}$ for all $i > N$. Set $\chi := 2 + \delta/4$. For $i \in \Lambda$, we put

$$\eta^{(i)} := [0, a_1, \dots, a_{n_i-1}, \overline{b_1, \dots, b_k}].$$

By Proposition 2.1, α is not rational. Suppose that α is an algebraic number of degree at least three. Then we have

$$|\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_p > |q_{n_i+k_i-1}|_p^{-4\chi}$$

for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. This follows by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 3.2, we see $|\alpha - \eta^{(i)}|_p \le |q_{n_{i+1}-1}|_p^{-2}$ for all i. Therefore, we obtain

$$|q_{n_{i+1}-1}|_p < |q_{n_i+k_i-1}|_p^{2\chi} \tag{17}$$

for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. Applying (14), (15), (16), and (17), we have for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$,

$$P^{\lambda_i} < c_5 c_6^i P^{(2\chi-1)(\lambda_{i-1}+\lambda_{i-2}+\cdots+\lambda_N)}.$$

Taking logarithms, we see that for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i-1}} &< \frac{\log c_5 + i \log c_6}{\lambda_{i-1} \log P} + (2\chi - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4+\delta/2}\right)^j \\ &= \frac{\log c_5 + i \log c_6}{\lambda_{i-1} \log P} + 4 + \frac{\delta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $i/\lambda_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, we have

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i-1}} < \frac{\delta}{2} + 4 + \frac{\delta}{2} = 4 + \delta$$

for all sufficiently large $i \in \Lambda$. This contradicts, and the proof is complete.

Acknowledgements. I am greatly indebted to K.Kimura for several helpful comments concerning the proof of main theorems. I also wish to express my gratitude to Prof. M.Miyamoto.

References

[1] A. Baker, *Continued fractions of transcendental numbers*, Mathematika **9** (1962), 1–8.
[2] P. Ubolsri, V. Laohakosol, *p-adic continued fractions of Liouville type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), no. 3, 403–410.
[3] B. M. M. de Weger, *Periodicity of p-adic continued fractions*, Elem. Math. **43** (1988), no. 4, 112–116.
[4] M. Deze, L. X. Wang, *p-adic continued fractions* (III), Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.) **2** (1986), no. 4, 299–308.
[5] V. Laohakosol, *A characterization of rational numbers by p-adic Ruban continued fractions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **39** (1985), no. 3, 300–305.
[6] W. J. LeVeque, *Topics in number theory. Vol. 1, 2*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1956.
[7] K. Mahler, *On a geometrical representation of p-adic numbers*, Ann. of Math. (2) **41**, (1940), 8–56.
[8] E. Maillet, *Introduction à la théorie des nombres transcendants et des propriétés arithmétiques des fonctions*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1906.
[9] A. A. Ruban, *Certain metric properties of p-adic numbers*, (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **11** (1970), 222–227.
[10] Th. Schneider, *Über p-adische Kettenbrüche*, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. IV (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69) pp. 181–189 Academic Press, London.
[11] J. H. Silverman, M. Hindry, *Diophantine Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Volume 201, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
[12] F. Tilborghs, *Periodic p-adic continued fractions*, Simon Stevin **64** (1990), no. 3–4, 383–390.
[13] A. J. van der Poorten, *Schneider's continued fraction*, Number theory with an emphasis on the Markoff spectrum (Provo, UT, 1991), 271–281, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 147, Dekker, New York, 1993.
[14] L. X. Wang, *p-adic continued fractions* (I), Sci. Sinica Ser. A **28** (1985), no. 10, 1009–1017.
[15] L. X. Wang, *p-adic continued fractions* (II), Sci. Sinica Ser. A **28** (1985), no. 10, 1018–1023.

Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tennodai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8571, Japan
E-mail address: ooto@math.tsukuba.ac.jp